

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT TACOMA

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NO. CR09-5452RJB

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

ORDER REQUESTING INFORMATION
AND RENOTING MOTION FOR RETURN
OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO
RULE 41(g)

9 DANIEL CAMARENA,

10 Defendant.
11

12 This matter comes before the court on Defendant's Motion for Return of Property
13 Pursuant to Rule 41(g) (Dkt. 587). The court has considered all documents filed in support of
14 and in opposition to the this motion, and finds that the information before the court is not
15 sufficient for the court to make a definitive ruling. Although there is some reference in the
16 court file to the search warrant being a federal warrant, the warrant itself is not in the file, nor is
17 there a return in the file. Those documents should be supplied to the court by whichever party
18 may have them. The file is also devoid of any information regarding the relationship between
19 federal officers and officers of other entities that make up the so-called "Tacoma Regional Task
20 Force."
21

22 The court notes that the Pierce County Sheriff is not a party to this case, and defendant
23 is free to pursue whatever state avenues may be open to him.

24 While the authority of the court to control possession of the 2002 Dodge Truck, which
25 is at the heart of this motion, may be questionable, the court does hope that the plaintiff in this

1 case will do its best to safeguard the vehicle so that it will be available to the parties hereto for
2 whatever disposition, if any, this court may order.

3 Therefore, it is now

4 ORDERED that the parties hereto shall supply the court with the information requested
5 on or before April 8, 2010, and the court will reset the matter for consideration on or after April
6 9, 2010.

7 Dated this 2nd day of April, 2010.

8
9 

10 ROBERT J. BRYAN
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25